DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS FOR SALMONID FISH PASSAGE

Presented by Cindy Watanabe California Department of Fish and Game

Introduction

A. Ultimate goal

- 1. Minimize passage problems for fish
- 2. Achievable in many ways
 - a. Eliminate barrier
 - b. Provide fish passage
 - Move fish around barrier c.

B. Fish passage

- 1. Design considerations
- 2. Design process

Problem Identified

- 1. Who determined the problem
 - a. DFG Biologist or Warden
 - b. Landowner
 - Individual c.
 - Environmental organization d.
- 2. Contact property owner
 - Permission to visit site

III. Preliminary Site Visit

- A. Take photos
- B. Observe problem
 - 1. Natural barrier
 - 2. Existing manmade structure, no fish ladder
 - Existing manmade structure, with fish ladder
- C. Observe high and low flow conditions
- D. Determine scope of problem
 - - 1. Perceived 2. Actual
- E. Explore area
- .F. Determine if passage feasible
- Consider possible option's G.
 - 1. Remove barrier
 - 2. Trap and truck
 - 3. Provide fish passage
 - Construct new fish ladder a.
 - Improve existing fish ladder b.
 - Add another fish ladder

H. Discuss funding needs

- Funding for preliminary design 1.
- 2. Funding for final design and construction
- 3. Funding for operation and maintenance

I. Potential partners/designers

- 1. Cost share
- 2. Department desi gn
- 3. Other state agency
- 4. Consultant
- 5. Private
- 6. Property owner
- J. Discuss situation with property owner
- K. Discuss critical timeline
 - 1. Species sensitive
 - 2. Funding availability
 - 3. Design time requirements

IV. Data Collection

A. Biological

- 1. Fish species description
 - a. Swimming ability
 - b. Jumping ability
 - c. Preference for passage
- 2. Migration period
 - a. Start, peak, end
 - b. Upstream, adult
 - c. Downstream, juvenile
 - d. How do they approach barrier
 - 3. Fish activity at barrier
 - a. Partial barrier, some make it over
 - b. Complete barrier, none make it over
 - c. Can't find ladder
 - d. Wander, jump, find ladder
 - e. Swim over
 - f. Fatigued or injured
 - 4. Where is barrier in fish migration route
 - a. Pass through
 - b. Spawning in vicinity
 - c. Rearing in area

B. Physical Site Conditions

- 1. Road maps
 - a. Nearest road to site
 - b. Condition of road
 - c. Access with minor equipment
 - d. Hike in, no equipment
- 2. Topography
 - a. Where is barrier in watershed
 - b. River section straight or on bend
 - c. What is watershed like
- 3. Existing features
 - a. Environmental issues
 - i. Sensitive plants or animals
 - ii. Riparian disruption or removal
 - iii. Archeological site
 - iv. Residential area nearby

Geological

- i. Bedrock, type and location
- ii. River bed material
- iii. Bank material
- c. River Characteristics
 - i. cross sections
 - ii. Profile
 - iii. Bank details
 - iv. Water surface at varying flow conditions
- d. Elevations of key features
 - i. Establish job benchmark
 - ii. Diversion location
 - iii. Canal
 - iv. Pump
 - v. Fish screen and bypass
 - vi. Headgates
 - vii. Dam, plan and profile
- 4. Additional information
 - a. As-built drawings for structure
 - b. Require core samples, structure or soil
 - c. Construction requirements
 - i. Access
 - ii. Dewatering required
 - iii. Staging areas
 - iv. Spoils area
 - d. Utilities in area

C. Hydrology

- l. River flow
 - a. Stream gage nearby
 - b. Basin hydrology
 - i. Flow duration
 - ii. Flood flows-annual, 10, 50 year
 - iii. Bankfull discharge
 - iv. During migration period
- 2. operating flows
 - a. Dams
 - 1. Diversion
 - i. Fish screen, existing or future
 - ii. Bypass, existing or future
 - 2. Hydropower
 - 3. Abandoned
- 3. Headwater/Tailwater stage relationship during migration period
 - a. Vertical difference
 - b. Time period
- 4. Determine fish ladder design flow

V. Preliminary Fish Ladder Design

- A. Fish ladder Entrance
 - 1. Most important part of design
 - 2. No fish in/no fish out
 - 3. Fish must be able to find the entrance
 - a. Location
 - i. Near banks
 - ii. Downstream of hydraulic jump
 - jii. Downstream of turbulence or eddies
 - b. Attraction water
 - i. 10% of river flow
 - ii. Auxiliary water
 - Velocities less than 1 fps
 - Add to last pool in ladder via diffusers through side wall or floor
 - iii. Modify operation of dam/spillway
 - 4. Swim in entrance
 - a. Not elevated requiring d jump
 - b. Minimum 4 fps, maximum 8 fps
 - c. Stream bed scour and lowering of tailwater pool common problem
 - 5. Can incorporate multiple entrances

B. Fish ladder options

- 1. Provide versatility in design
- 2. Ladders in remote areas should have minimal maintenance requirements
- 3. Safety should be considered
- 4. Access for maintenance and operation
- 5. Surfaces should drain away from fish ladder
- 6. Install staff gages in varying locations
 - a. Should be easily read
 - b. Operations may depend on readings
 - c. Can record and develop stage relationships

C. Fish ladder exit

- 1. Locate away from spillway
- 2. Best near shoreline or in current
- 3. Trash rack
 - a. Horizontal 1811 minimum
 - b. Vertical 5-1011
 - c. Horizontal bars placed behind vertical to promote cleaning
 - d. Vertical slope to let debris ride up
 - 4. Log booms can also deflect debris
 - 5. Fish ladder flow controls
 - a. orifice
 - b. Vertical Slot
 - c. Adjustable weirs
- i. Manual

ii. Automated

- 6. Future use of fish counter
- 7. Ability to close fish ladder for maintenance
- 8. Located in main channel for passage of d/s migrants

VI. Choose your fish ladder

- A. Review information and determine best ladder considering following:
 - 1. Site conditions
 - 2. Barrier characteristics
 - 3. Fish species
 - 4. Debris
 - 5. Bank protection
 - 6. Stream Scour
 - 7. Sedimentation
 - 8. Cost
 - 9. Hydraulic model
- B. Prepare discharge rating curve for chosen ladder
 - 1. Compare low, average and high flows
 - 2. Include dam and diversion flows
- C. Preliminary Drawings
 - 1. Show dimensions
 - 2. Approximate locations
 - 3. Elevations
 - 4. Plan, side and cross-section views
 - 5. Stream
 - 6. Structures
 - 7. Environmental concerns
- D. Cost Estimate
 - 1. Accuracy of estimate dependent on experience
 - 2. Include high contingency if:
 - a. Lots of site unknowns
 - b. Inexperienced designer
 - 3. A generalized method to determine cost:
 - a. Small simple ladder \$2000/vertical foot
 - b. Medium \$10,000/vertical foot
- c. Large, complex \$50,000/vertical foot
- E. Preliminary Design Review and Approval
 - 1. Experienced engineer or specialist
 - 2. Property owner
 - 3. Staff
 - 4. Agencies
- F. Permit Process
 - 1. Usually takes several months
 - 2. Ensure all agencies have been contacted

- G. Begin Developing Operations and Maintenance M-anual/Agreement
- H. Deter-mine method(s) of funding
 - 1. Final Design
 - 2. Construction
 - 3. Operation and Maintenance

VII. Final Design

- A. Prepare final cost estimate
 - 1. May change significantly from preliminary
 - 2. Ready to apply for funds
- B. Final Drawings and specifications
 - 1. Reviewed and approved by experienced engineer or habitat specialist
 - 2. Property owner approves
 - 3. Permits reviewed and revised if necessary
 - a. Time frames usually established here
 - b. Construction restrictions identified
- C. Finalize operations and Maintenance Manual
 - 1. Assign responsibilities
 - 2. Signed Agreement

VIII.Contracting Process

- A. Contract Preparation
- B. Bid
- C. Award

XI. Construction

- A. Contractor's Experience
 - 1. If Contractor lacks experience, may require full time inspector, extra time
 - 2. "Good" Contractor can handle difficult situations
 - 3. Dewatering may be very large element of construction
- B. Inspector should have experience in construction techniques
- C. Ensure permit requirements adhered to

X. Post Construction

- A. Monitoring and Evaluation
 - 1. Biological
 - 2. Hydraulic
- B. Operation
- C. Maintenance

XI. Summary

- A. No two sites are the same
- B. Treat each job as a unique experience and review all pertinent information